

Solving the Emerging Infectious Disease Crisis

Author(s): Daniel R. Brooks

Source: Comparative Parasitology, 81(2):152-154. 2014.

Published By: The Helminthological Society of Washington

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1654/4724a.1>

URL: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.1654/4724a.1>

BioOne (www.bioone.org) is a nonprofit, online aggregation of core research in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. BioOne provides a sustainable online platform for over 170 journals and books published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Web site, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/page/terms_of_use.

Usage of BioOne content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

Solving the Emerging Infectious Disease Crisis*

DANIEL R. BROOKS

Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto, Toronto ON M5S 3B2, Canada
(e-mail: dnlbrooks@gmail.com)

Today I speak to you as a witness from “ground zero” of the biodiversity crisis. I bring an urgent message. The human population grows daily, it’s on the move, and it’s carving a deep technological footprint on this planet. We alter landscapes and perturb ecosystems, inserting ourselves and other species into novel regions of the world, leading to potentially irreversible changes in the biosphere. This is not news. Half a century ago, Charles Elton, a founder of modern ecology, wrote,

“We must make no mistake; we are seeing one of the greatest historical convulsions in the world’s fauna and flora.”

We are also in the midst of an epidemiological crisis. Climate change alters movements and geographic distributions for myriad species. Transportation of people and goods carries countless pathogens around the globe. This brings isolated species into sudden contact. Pathogens encounter hosts with no resistance and no time to evolve any.

This is also not news: Maladies rare or unknown 2 or 3 decades ago, like HIV (human immunodeficiency virus) and Ebola, West Nile virus and avian influenza, have become commonplace. In such a world—this world—events like these are ongoing. Scarcely a week passes without news of some freshly discovered strain of pathogen trading up to a human host...or bald eagles...or crop plants...or sea anemones. This is the crisis of emerging infectious disease (EID).

We think of EID as isolated events, and we react only after the fact. We allocate massive resources to pathogens that have already made themselves known, while ignoring the far greater threat posed by those waiting in the wings. The ones we know are just the tip of the iceberg:—80–90% percent of the world’s pathogens haven’t been discovered yet. They’re discovering us easily enough—weekly outbreaks and endlessly mutating strains of recent years are ample evidence of that. This *succession of crises* is the new status quo. They’re far better at finding us than we have been at finding them. Why?

The current EID crisis is a new manifestation of an old and repeating phenomenon. The rules have not changed. Every episode of global climate change and ecological perturbation throughout Earth history has produced new pathogens.

More than a million years ago, our African ancestors moved from forest to savannah. Adopting a predatory lifestyle, sharing prey with grassland carnivores, early humans acquired pathogens previously found in hyenas, large cats, and African hunting dogs. They carried those pathogens out of Africa, where they added native hosts in new environments, while native pathogens returned the favor, infecting the newly arrived humans. Agriculture and urbanization brought people and animals into even closer contact, making infection and transmission easier than ever.

In the past 100,000 yr, agriculture, domestication, and urbanization have disseminated EID risk on a global scale. If doctors had existed in those times, they would have remarked on a worrisome surge in the number of EIDs, responding to the crisis as best they could, after the fact.

In the past 50 yr, exploding human population, rapid transit, and climate change have produced the real-time crisis you see on television daily.

The EID crisis is a medical issue in only a superficial sense. It’s more fundamentally an evolutionary and ecological issue, a predictable consequence of separated species brought into close contact. The difference today is that human activity accelerates the rate of introductions, so outbreaks occur more frequently and over a wider geographic range than ever before.

The potential for EID is a “built-in feature” of evolution. Research shows that those species best at surviving climate change will be the primary sources of EID. Pathogens are not only good at finding us, they are really good at surviving. There are many, not a few, evolutionary “accidents waiting to happen” out there, requiring only the catalyst of climate change, species introductions, and the intrusion of humans into areas they have never inhabited before.

All of these are happening *right now*.

*Speech given in acceptance of the Helminthological Society of Washington’s 2012 Anniversary Award.

Today's crisis stems directly from fundamental ignorance about the biosphere: We simply don't know what's out there. And what you don't know can hurt you.

Undiscovered pathogens and their vectors lurk beneath our feet like evolutionary land mines as we move into novel habitats, translocate species, and alter ecosystems. More than 50% of the species on this planet are parasites of some form. They threaten human health, agriculture, natural systems, conservation practices, and the global economy.

I'm not raising the specter of a killer bug wiping out humanity. It's going to be more like the death of a thousand cuts. Each EID will exact an economic cost, and even when host immune systems catch up to a particular "new" pathogen, it will not go away. It will persist as *pathogen pollution*. West Nile virus is no longer an acute problem in North America, but now that it's here, it'll always be a chronic problem. Others will follow, each repeating that pattern.

Information about the diversity and distribution of known and potential pathogens is critical for limiting their socioeconomic impacts. Yet, our knowledge of the identities, geographic locations, and threat potential for the world's pathogens can only be called fragmentary. At most, 10% of the world's pathogens have been documented—the rest remain utterly unknown.

This massive ignorance is reason to be concerned about our preparedness to handle the crisis. It's impossible to prepare for a threat whose very existence is unknown. You can't monitor, much less seek cures or develop vaccines, for undiscovered maladies. We act as if EID were a rare phenomenon and engage in crisis response mode. The evidence is that the potential for EID is large, and climate change will make more of the world accessible to more pathogens.

This makes the planet an evolutionary minefield into which millions of people, not to mention their crops, livestock, and pets, wander daily.

In the near future—if it's not already true—our crisis response abilities will be overwhelmed.

We need strategic planning based on solving the problem rather than managing it. We must learn the lessons of the past if we are to cope with the future in a timely and economical manner. We need to monitor pathogens so we can assess EID risk before medical or veterinary clinicians see their symptoms. We need evolutionary models that tie together climate change, biodiversity, and EID.

Nothing substantial can be accomplished until we know what we're up against. It's essential that we

complete a global inventory of species rapidly. It sounds daunting. However, 500,000 yr of experience in hunting and gathering; cheaper, faster DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid) analysis; faster, cheaper computers—all this makes the task feasible. It's a massive undertaking, but it must be done. It'll be costly, but it'll never be cheaper, and the alternative is to let this chance slip away, passively accepting the consequences. As Voltaire said, "There is a certain inevitability about inaction."

To be useful in the real world, the information from that inventory must be online. This will require massive societal support for natural history collections and the taxonomic specialists who can identify each species by name, using those names as indices of information. *No name, no information; wrong name, wrong information*. An incorrect diagnosis by a clinician is a taxonomic mistake with devastating consequences. It's as simple and critical as that.

And the database has to grow fast. It's the middle of the fourth quarter, and we're 13 points behind. Two touchdowns will do it, but we need focus and a sense of urgency.

The scientific community has contributed to our lethargic efforts. Many scientists, living comfortable lives far from ground zero, see funding opportunities, not crises. Specialization allows us to divide and conquer ourselves. Global climate change is the arena of physicists, geologists, and meteorologists; EID is the province of biotechnology, public and veterinary health specialists; biodiversity is the domain of ecologists and evolutionary biologists. All these phenomena are interrelated and synergistic. We need to be the same—we cannot afford academic business as usual.

Others bear responsibility. The Romans realized that democracy rose and fell on the issue of civilian control of the military—*Quis custodiet ipsos custodes?* Managing a crisis is always more costly than solving it, from the standpoint of those who pay the bills. An equally important question is: Has crisis management become more profitable than problem solving?

Should it bother us that the very people charged with protecting us have an enormous economic vested interest in managing the problem along rather than solving it? We are conditioned to believe in the medical-industrial complex (MIC), and yet, from an evolutionist's perspective, the MIC seems to do everything possible to help natural selection produce strains of pathogens resistant to current pharmaceuticals, leading to the need for more.

Let's do a quick thought experiment: A conscientious mother wipes down the kitchen countertop with a disinfectant after breakfast, because television ads tell her it will kill 99.9% of all germs. If she kills 99.9% of a population of 1 billion germs, 10,010,010 disinfectant-resistant germs survive. At the rate microbes reproduce, by lunchtime they are back to the original 1 billion, but all are resistant to the disinfectant.

And yet, mom does not produce killer germs. Perhaps disinfectant companies do not realize that most of the germs their products destroy are no threat to human health. Next year's new and improved, and slightly more expensive, version will still wipe out 99.9% of germs, but it won't mean anything. Perhaps pharmaceutical companies do not realize there is no necessary connection between a pathogen's ability to resist a drug and its susceptibility to an active human immune system.

I am not suggesting this is deliberate. The MIC simply operates as an entrenched bureaucracy, wherein relentless application of "best practices" for enhancing the bottom line fuels an evolutionary arms race between pathogens and pharmaceutical companies. The MIC, like all entrenched bureaucracies and their management infrastructures, will resist efforts aimed at fundamental change, even when it is vital to the survival of humanity.

The MIC is likely ignorant of the significance of pathogen evolution. However, ignoring the evolutionary basis of the EID crisis is tantamount to mortgaging *your* children's futures.

Parasite evolutionists and ecologists can help biomedical specialists focus their research beams in a timely and cost-effective manner—thereby protecting profits—while moving from being *uninformed-*

reactive to being *informed-proactive*. Again, we cannot afford business as usual. Traditional professional boundaries between basic and applied research must come down.

Finally, the public sector. Many politicians and environmentalists in the public spotlight speak as if we need to fix blame before we can begin doing anything. However, as Al Gore has noted, it does not matter if climate change is the result of the industrial revolution or automobiles or, Ronald Reagan's favorite culprit, trees; what matters is that it is happening. Nor does it matter whether the current episode will be short or long in geological terms. A short cycle—say, 500 yr—is 25 generations. It might as well be 10,000 yr.

Mark Twain had many pieces of advice for politicians. One of them was, "Do the right thing. It will gratify some people, and astonish the rest." No politician wants to be on duty when hard decisions have to be made, so each one works to limit liability, a standard management tactic, rather than to solve problems. Politicians therefore opt for management because their vested interest is limited by election cycles.

So, with all due respect to Mark Twain, I would suggest we adopt a tennis coaching adage: Never change a winning game; always change a losing game. We are playing a losing game. We must stop being victims, believing we can only hang on in a complex world that is largely spinning out of control, at least out of our control. We must begin solving problems, rather than letting them grow slowly but inexorably through the studious application of management principles. Parasitologists have special knowledge, and special insight, that could be crucial to solving this global problem.